

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,532	08/19/2003	Alex Lindsay-Scott	D/A2477	4253	
25453 PATENT DOC	7590 04/03/200 CUMENTATION CEN		EXAMINER		
XEROX CORPORATION 100 CLINTON AVE., SOUTH, XEROX SQUARE, 20TH FLOOR ROCHESTER, NY 14644			PATS, JUSTIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/643,532 LINDSAY-SCOTT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JUSTIN M. PATS 3623 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Patent Pat	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Actice of Informal Pater Application 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 3623

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Notice to Applicant

The following is a non-final, first office action responsive to applicant's communication
of 8/19/03. Claims 1–14 are pending in this application and have been rejected below.
 Information Disclosure Sheet (IDS) filed 8/19/03 has been considered.

Art Unit: 3623

# Specification

The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to U.S. Patent
Application entitled Method for Managing Knowledge Flow to Value (Docket D/A2482) is
ineffective because the application number, 10/643,655, has not been recited.

Art Unit: 3623

# Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "processed" does not make sense within the grammatical structure of the sentence. Please change accordingly.Examiner will interpret the claim as if it reads "processes." Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3623

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. Claim 14 is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant uses means for language within a system claim but fails to recite sufficient structure either in the claim or in the specification to perform the recited functions. This renders the claim the equivalent of software per sc and nonstatutory under 35 USC 101. See MPEP § 2106 IV.B.1.(a).

Art Unit: 3623

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1–3, 5–14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gjendem, B2E Business to Enterprise, PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, Jan. 22, 2001, pg. 1–38 (see attached PTO-892, reference U) in view of Kettinger and Teng, Aligning BPR to Strategy: a Framework for Analysis, Long Range Planning, Vol. 31, No. 1, 1998, pg. 93–107 [hereinafter Kettinger1]. (see attached PTO-892, reference V)

Page 7

Art Unit: 3623

8 As per claim 1, Gjendem teaches a method for assessing content management within an organization, comprising: identifying business critical information across processes and groups within the organization, wherein business critical information comprises information stored in the form of web content, electronic documents, paper documents and unrecorded information (pg. 8, listing different types of information such as sales/marketing, research and development, and accounting, being stored in a log archive; see also pg. 8, illustrating web content; pg. 29, illustrating paper files and electronic documents; unrecorded information such as Staff knowledge); identifying transactions between users within the organization which are associated with the business critical information (pg. 18, Information value chain, data: transaction records); identifying major value chain processes within the organization for managing the business critical information and their associated transactions (pg. 22, Design & Pilot, knowledge-enabled processes; pg. 23, Performance improvements, structuring information processes; pg. 27, Knowledge-Enabled processes; pg. 29, processes as part of the maturity profile; pg. 32, Site map, Processes); analyzing the role and flow of the business critical information and their associated transactions within the major value chain processes across organizational groups within the organization to develop a set of modifications to the major value chain processes for achieving an optimized flow (pg. 22, Design & Pilot, Organizational roles and incentives; pg. 25, D. Perform Content Analysis, Identify Key roles; Analyze Technical Infrastructure; pg. 22, Envision Knowledge Strategy, Develop high-level design of knowledge domains, flows and infrastructure, pg. 25, Inputs, Process knowledge mapping, Content/knowledge flows from Knowledge Map; Outputs, Current state of knowledge flow in the business, View of business process enhanced by knowledge flow; pg. 30, Knowledge Flow); measuring the flow of the

business critical information and their associated transactions through the major value chain processes across the organizational groups within the organization to determine a baseline flow for the business critical information (id., Outputs, Baseline to measure progress); and modifying the major value chain processes in accordance with the set of developed modifications and measuring the flow of the business critical information and their associated transactions through the modified major value chain processes (pg. 25, E. Deploy Pilot, Knowledge-enabled process implementation, Measure Impact). Gjenden teaches (1) the positive evolution of an organization via effective knowledge management as well as a bullet point indicating best practice (Gjenden, pg. 22), and (2) a diagnostic tool showing where the company current maturity level, their target goal of where they want 'to be', and a best practices level (pg. 28, 29), but does not explicitly teach modification occurring until the optimal flow has been achieved. Kettinger1 teaches this in the analogous art of business process improvement and reengineering (Kettinger1, pg. 97, "It may be necessary to continually fine tune certain aspects of the new process until acceptable performance gains are achieved."). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gjenden to include the teaching of Kettinger1 because an optimal flow yields optimal productivity which increases a company's profits.

Gjenden in view of Kettinger I does not explicitly disclose the identification of digital media. However, Official Notice is taken that the identification and use of digital media was old and well known in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gjenden in view of Kettinger I to include the teaching of Official Notice because putting media in digital form frees up storage and server resources, lowers costs, and enhances data transmission rates.

Art Unit: 3623

Finally, Gjenden teaches the means for executing the method steps of claim 1 (Gjenden, pg. 9, discussing the portal's collecting capabilities; pg. 12, discussing the portal's integration capabilities; pg. 13, discussing the portal as the primary vehicle by which people do their work; pg. 23, System integration; pg. 27, Technology, Leverage tools; pg. 29, Diagnostic tool).

Page 9

- As per claim 2, Gjenden teaches recording the identified unrecorded information (pg. 20, Generate Knowledge, Capture arrow).
- 10. As per claim 3, Gjenden teaches wherein the step of analyzing the role and flow of the business critical information and their associated transactions includes pinpointing problems in which business critical information, knowledge and processes combine to affect key business performance indicators (pg. 29, Maturity profile, showing combined performance gap view from as-is to best practice applied to different information sources; pg. 27, the information and knowledge environment showing the existent synergy between people, content, processes, and technology; pg. 31, "How did you solve that problem"; "Effective knowledge programs identify and leverage know-how embedded in work with a focus on how it will be applied"; "Drives performance support and process innovation").
- 11. As per claim 5, Gjenden teaches wherein the step of analyzing the role and flow of the business critical information and their associated transactions includes developing a set of metrics for measuring the flow of the business critical information and their associated transactions through the major value chain processes across the organizational groups within the

Art Unit: 3623

organization (Gjenden, pg. 24, KM measurements, KM benchmarking survey, pg. 25, E. Deploy Pilot, "measure impact"; Outputs, "baseline to measure progress"; see also pg. 28–29, Maturity Profile, showing proposed progress of organization based on performance level metrics).

- 12. As per claim 6, Gjenden teaches defining a business service within the organization which uses business critical information in a defined way (Gjenden, pg. 22, I. Envision Knowledge Strategy, Determine priorities and develop B2E strategy, Develop high-level design of knowledge domains, flows and infrastructure, Develop implementation roadmap, info structure; Design & Pilot, Develop detailed design).
- 13. As per claim 7, Gjenden does not explicitly teach wherein defining the business service step comprises defining an executive assessment for identifying areas within the organization where solving document, content and knowledge issues could provide major benefits. Kettinger1 teaches this (Kettinger1, pg. 97, Securing management commitment). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gjenden to include the teaching of Kettinger1 because an organization is more productive and efficient when all its members, especially its senior management who make the most important decisions, are cognizant of areas in which an organization can improve and the proposed methods for improvement.

Art Unit: 3623

14. As per claim 8, Gjenden teaches wherein the defining the business service step comprises

Page 11

defining a content strategy for identifying and managing content-related initiatives across the

organization (see discussion supra ¶ 12).

15. As per claim 9, Gjenden teaches wherein the defining the business service step comprises

defining a knowledge and work practice assessment for identifying critical and competitive

factors within the organization (id.; see also pg. 19, defining different types of knowledge and

assessing their extractable nature within an overall organization).

16. As per claim 10, Gjenden does not explicitly teach wherein the defining the business

service step comprises defining a business case for developing a cost-benefit justification of a

proposed content improvement initiative. Kettinger1 teaches this (Kettinger1, pg. 97, Phase 7:

Continuous Improvement, Tasks, Assess Cost/Benefit/Risks). It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art to modify Gjenden to include the teaching of Kettinger1 because

cost/benefit analyses give organizations critical insight, enabling them to avoid making poor

decisions such as embarking on an initiative that will likely result in a loss rather than a profit.

17. As per claim 11, Gjenden does not explicitly teach wherein the defining the business

service step comprises defining a requirements analysis and specification for process innovation

for the organization. Kettinger1 teaches this (pg. 96, Organize re-generation team, determine re-

generation team skill requirements, Set Performance goals of a "re-designed" process,

Art Unit: 3623

"Determine External Process Customer Requirements", Uncover Pathologies, "Detail Internal Customers Process Requirements"; Design IT Architecture, Information Systems Requirements Analysis and Design; Construct Information Systems, Prepare IT Specification; pg. 104, Prototyping the Holistic Process; pg. 104, Developing and Deploying IT, "Detailed analysis specifications are developed"). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gjenden to include the teaching of Kettinger1 because requirements analysis and specification makes an organization better prepared and more knowledge, and therefore better able to anticipate and comprehend what will be necessary to effect positive organizational change.

- 18. Claim 12 recites limitations that stand rejected via the art citations and rationale applied to claim 11 as discussed above.
- 19. As per claim 13, Gjendon teaches wherein the defining the business service step comprises defining two or more of the following for the business: a content strategy, a knowledge and work practice assessment, a business case, a requirements analysis and specification for process innovation and a requirements analysis and specification for solution development (Gjenden, pg. 22, I. Envision Knowledge Strategy, Determine priorities and develop B2E strategy, Develop high-level design of knowledge domains, flows and infrastructure, Develop implementation roadmap, info structure; Design & Pilot, Develop detailed design; pg. 19, defining different types of knowledge and assessing their extractable nature within an overall organization).

Art Unit: 3623

20. Claim 14 recites limitations that stand rejected via the art citations and rationale applied to claim 1 as discussed above.

Art Unit: 3623

21. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gjenden in view of Kettinger, as applied to claim 1, further in view of Kettinger and Teng, Business Process Change; A Study of Methodologies, Techniques, and Tools, MIS Quarterly, Vol. 21, No. 1, March 1997, pg. 55–80 [hereinafter Kettinger2]. (see attached PTO-892, reference W)

22. As per claim 4, Gjenden in view of Kettinger1 does not explicitly teach wherein the identifying steps comprise using a workbook with an ordered set of templates for gathering each of business critical information, associated transactions, and major value chain processes. Kettinger2 in the analogous art of business process reengineering teaches this (Kettinger2, pg. 63, "BPR tool sets with a repository typically provide graphic interfaces, menus, templates, and data indexing to facilitate collective knowledge sharing and directly translate process requirements into information requirements."). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gjenden in view of Kettinger1 to include the teaching of Kettinger2 for the benefit of better organization so as to facilitate collective knowledge sharing.

Art Unit: 3623

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- A. Cunningham et al., U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2007/0129953. (see attached PTO-892, reference A)
  - B. Balz et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,136,792. (see attached PTO-892, reference B)
  - C. Abu El Ata, U.S. Patent No. 6,990,437. (see attached PTO-892, reference C)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUSTIN M. PATS whose telephone number is (571)270-1363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 571-272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Justin M Pats/ Examiner, Art Unit 3623 /Jonathan G. Sterrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623